



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/977,934	10/17/2001	Hiroshi Akahori	hi Akahori 110900		
25944	7590 07/08/2004	*	EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			MAI, TAN V		
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDI	un, vn 22320		2124		
			DATE MAILED: 07/08/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N	0	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/977,934	<b>.</b>	AKAHORI, HIROSH	J( ) (			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	•	Tan V Mai		2124				
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply		ver sheet with the		ress			
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (Six) of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF (Six) of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory preduced to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by supply received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, h n. a reply within the statutory eriod will apply and will exp	owever, may a reply be ti minimum of thirty (30) da ire SIX (6) MONTHS fron in to become ABANDONI	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this com ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status								
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1							
	////	This action is non-			morito is			
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consid						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.65(a). bioctod to See 37 CF	:R 1 121(d)			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control	orrection is required the Examiner. Note	the attached Office	e Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				•			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo  ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu  2.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu  3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Beee the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been r ments have been r e priority document sureau (PCT Rule 1	eceived. eceived in Applica s have been recei 7.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National	Stage			
Attachmer	nt(s)		□ <u>.</u>	(DTO 442)				
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 er No(s)/Mail Date	48) SB/08) 5)	Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail Notice of Informa Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 2124

- 1. The drawings are objected to because Fig. 3 should be labeled "PRIOR ART". A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. Claims 1-5 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per independent claim 1, the phrase "adding member for adding multiplied results from a plurality of multiplication members" seems to be misdescriptive because the claim only recites "a first selection member", "a second selection member" and "a multiplication member". Clarification is requested. Similarly noted independent claim 4 and dependent claim 7.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 3-4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kim.

As per independent claim 1, Kim teaches, e.g., see Figs. 3 and 7, "a first selection member" (202), "a second selection member" (203) [see Fig. 7 and col. 3,

Art Unit: 2124

lines 46-61], "a multiplication member" (204A-204D) and "an adding member" (207) as claimed.

As per dependent claim 3, Kim teaches a FIR filter.

Due to the similarity of claims 4 and 6-8 to claims 1 and 3, they are rejected under a similar rationale.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 3-4 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lish.

As per independent claim 1, Lish discloses, e.g., see Figs. 4A-4B, the invention substantially as claimed, including: "a first selection member" SWITCHING MATRIX, a coefficient member & "a multiplication member" C1-C4 & and "an adding member". It is noted that Lish does not specifically detail the claimed "second selection member" feature; however, the feature is explicitly disclosed because the FIR filter has a plurality of M taps and N (N less than M) multipliers. Therefore, the FIR filter should have the equivalent "second selection member" feature for selecting the desired coefficients for corresponding taps. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the claimed invention according to

Art Unit: 2124

Lish's teachings because the reference is a FIR filter having a plurality of M taps and N (N less than M) multipliers as claimed.

As per dependent claim 3, Lish discloses a FIR filter.

Due to the similarity of claims 4 and 6-8 to claims 1 and 3, they are rejected under a similar rationale.

7. Claims 2 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Kim or Lish in view of Claasen et al.

Kim and Lish have been discussed in paragraphs 4 & 6, respectively.

As per dependent claim 2, the claim adds the "interpolation" feature, i.e, the "data signal in which a "0" is inserted". The feature is old and well known in the filter art. For example, Claasen et al disclose interpolating filters having inserted "0". It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Claasen et al in either Kim or Lish, thereby making the claimed invention, because the proposed device is a interpolation FIR filter 7having a plurality of M taps and N (N less than M) multipliers as claimed.

Due to the similarity of claim 5 to claim 2, it is rejected under a similar rationale.

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cited references are art of interest.

Art Unit: 2124

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (703) 305-9761. The examiner can normally be reached on Tue-Fri from 6:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on (703) 305-9662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are:

After-final

(703) 746-7238

Official

(703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft

(703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

TAN V. MAI PRIMARY EXAMINER